







_			
Droi	norty	TT	hec
I IU		ΙV	pus
		<i>.</i>	L

First Movers	Reasons
Retailers	<ul> <li>Energy is a major cost</li> <li>Centralized facilities' management</li> </ul>
Distribution Centers	•Major growth market •High economic return
Hotels	•Meet ASHRAE 2004=Full EPAct •Bi-level not required in guest rooms
Parking Garages	Large facilities drive large EPAct benefits
Industrial Facilities	<ul> <li>Large facilities drive large EPAct benefits</li> <li>Existing lighting is being phased out by law</li> </ul>
Office Buildings	•More states enact ASHRAE 2004 or higher building energy codes
Apartments	•Must be at least 4 stories
	ERNST & MORRIS Chergy TAX SAVERS

What'	's it Wo	orth?			
Square	Lighting	Lighting	HVAC	Building	
Footage	Minimum	Maximum	Maximum	Envelope	Total
	Deduction	Deduction	Deduction	Maximum	
				Deduction	Maximum
	\$.30/sq. ft.	\$.60/sq. ft.	\$.60/sq. ft.	\$.60/sq. ft.	\$1.80/sq. ft.
50,000	\$15,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$90,000
100,000	\$30,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$180,000
250,000	\$75,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$450,000
500,000	\$150,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$900,000
750,000	\$225,000	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$1,350,000
1,000,000	\$300,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$1,800,000

Note: For government buildings, these deductions go to the primary designer.











Cost Segre 100,000 sq.	gation Ex ft. wareho	ample-	
	No Cost Seg.	Traditional Cost Seg.	Cost Seg. With EPAct
39 Year Property	\$7,000,000	\$5,950,000	\$5,890,000
15 Year Property		\$560,000	\$560,000
7 Year Property		\$490,000	\$490,000
1 Year EPAct Property		-	\$60,000
After tax present value (40% Tax, 8% Discount)	\$852,821	\$1,013,764	\$1,030,454
Increase in PV		\$160,943	\$177,633
% improvement over cost seg alone			10%
			ERNST& MORRIS Chergy Tax Savers

### 8 Ways to Capture Tax Deduction

Whole building (\$1.80/ft<sup>2</sup>)

- 50% energy cost reduction below standard
- Permanent rules deduction (\$.60/ft<sup>2</sup>)

	Building Envelope	Lighting	HVAC
Alternative 1	162/3 %	162/3 %	162/3 %
Alternative 2	10%	20%	20%

□ Interim lighting rules (\$.30/ft<sup>2</sup>-\$.60/ft<sup>2</sup>)

 25% to 40% prescribed Light Power Density (LPD) reduction below standard





Bilevel Switching         Office         1.3         0.975         0.7           Meet ASHRAE 90.1 requirements         Manufacturing         2.2         1.65         1.3           School/Library         1.5         1.125         0.9           Meet IESNA minimum light levels         Retail         1.9         1.425         1.1	<ul> <li>Meet W/ft<sup>2</sup> targets</li> <li>Additional Requirements</li> </ul>									2001 Stand	ard N/ft²	25% Imp	% proven	nent	40% Impr	rovem	ent	
Meet ASHRAE 90.1 requirementsManufacturing2.21.651.3School/Library1.51.1250.9Retail1.91.4251.1Warehouse1.250% required, 0.60	<ul> <li>Bilevel Switching</li> </ul>				Office			1	.3	0.975			0.78					
requirementsSchool/Library1.51.1250.9Meet IESNA minimum light levelsRetail1.91.4251.1Warehouse1.250% required, 0.60	Meet ASHRAE 90.1					Manufa	acturin	ıg	2	.2	1.65		1.32					
Meet IESNA minimum light levels         Retail         1.9         1.425         1.1           Warehouse         1.2         50% required, 0.60	requirements				ĺ	School	/Libra	ry	1.5		1.125		0.90					
light levels         Warehouse         1.2         50% required, 0.60		Me	et IE	SNA	mini	mum	ו	Retail				1.9		1.425		1.14		
	light levels						Wareh	ouse		1	.2		50%	6 requ	ired, (	0.60		
% Improvement         25%         26%         27%         28%         29%         30%         31%         32%         33%         34%         35%         36%         37%         38%         39%	% Im	nprovement	25%	26%	27%	28%	29%	30%	31%	32%	33%	34%	35%	36%	37%	38%	39%	40%
Tax Deduction         .30         .32         .34         .36         .38         .40         .42         .44         .46         .48         .50         .52         .54         .56         .58           \$/sq .ft.         .30         .32         .34         .36         .38         .40         .42         .44         .46         .48         .50         .52         .54         .56         .58	Тах Г	Deduction	.30	.32	.34	.36	.38	.40	.42	.44	.46	.48	.50	.52	.54	.56	.58	.60



Beneti	ting	fro	m	ASF	IR /	A F	7 2004 87	200	<b>12</b>	FC	C		
Denem	ung	110	111 1	101	1111	11	200 <b>- C</b>	200	551				
	2001 Std. (W/ft2)	25% Over 2001	40% Over 2001	2004 Std. (W/ft2)	2004 % over 2001	Π		2001 Std. (W/ft2)	25% Over 2001	40% Over 2001	2004 Std. (W/ft2)	2004 % over 2001	
Automotive Facility	1.5	1.125	0.9	0.9	40%	х	Movie Theater	1.6	1.2	0.96	1.2	25%	х
Convention Center	1.4	1.05	0.84	1.2	14%		Museum	1.6	1.2	0.96	1.1	31%	Х
Court House	1.4	1.05	0.84	1.2	14%		Office	1.3	0.975	0.78	1	23%	
Bar Lounge/Leisure	1.5	1.125	0.9	1.3	13%		Parking Garage	0.3	0.225	0.18	0.3	0%	
Cafeteria/Fast Food	1.8	1.35	1.08	1.4	22%		Theater	1.5	1.125	0.9	1.6	-7%	
Family Dining	1.9	1.425	1.14	1.6	16%		Police/Fire Station	1.3	0.975	0.78	1	23%	
Exercise Center	1.4	1.05	0.84	1	29%	х	Post Office	1.6	1.2	0.96	1.1	31%	х
Gymnasium	1.7	1.275	1.02	1.1	35%	х	Retail	1.9	1.425	1.14	1.5	21%	
Health Care Clinic	1.6	1.2	0.96	1	38%	х	School/University	1.5	1.125	0.9	1.2	20%	
Hospital	1.6	1.2	0.96	1.2	25%	х	Sports Arena	1.5	1.125	0.9	1.1	27%	Х
Hotel	1.7	1.275	1.02	1	41%	х	Town Hall	1.4	1.05	0.84	1.1	21%	
Library	1.5	1.125	0.9	1.3	13%		Transportation	1.2	0.9	0.72	1	17%	
Manufacturing	2.2	1.65	1.32	1.3	41%	х	Warehouse	1.2			0.8		
	2	1.5	1.2	1	50%	х	Workshop	1.7	1.275	1.02	1.4	18%	

### Energy Codes & Code Compliance

- 35 states are now at codes stricter than ASHRAE 2001
- Title 20 appliance standards and equivalent are eliminating the use of probe start metal halides (CA, OR, WA) others pending
- T-12 lighting will be illegal to Manufacture (7/1/2010)
- We see many designs that miss EPAct and miss Building Codes
- Download COMcheck at:

http://www.energycodes.gov/comcheck/ez\_download.stm











































# SHOWCASE IGHT

## **Tax Deductions Brighten Return on** Lighting Upgrades

### BY CHARLES GOULDING, JACOB GOLDMAN AND SIDDHARTH SHETH

By all accounts, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct) got off to a slow start. Along with many other provisions, the much-hyped law provides tax incentives to encourage more energy-efficient buildings. But there were delays in promulgating the Internal Revenue Service regulations to implement the law. And it's taken a while for facility executives to understand the complex legislation.

Today, however, a growing number of facility executives are coming to see how EPAct may offer significant financial benefits, especially for lighting systems.

Effective Jan. 1, 2006, EPAct provided new tax deductions for specific investments that improve the energy efficiency of either the entire building or one of three building systems: lighting, HVAC or the building envelope. To qualify for those deductions, a project - whether an entire building or one of the three subsystems - must cut energy use compared to the limits specified in ASHRAE 90.1-2001.

The amount of the deduction depends on how efficient the system is. The deductions are available for both new construction and improvements to existing buildings. The project must be placed in service between Jan. 1, 2006 and Dec. 31, 2008. Congress is currently weighing a measure to expand the tax deduction amounts and extend EPAct through the 2012 tax year and through 2014 for projects certified as of 2012.

To date, lighting systems have been by far the biggest beneficiaries of EPAct deductions. One important factor has been tremendous improvements in lighting product efficiency - many of today's lighting products meet the EPAct energy target. Combine those factors with the substantial economic benefits provided by EPAct, and there may well be a solid economic case for installation of high efficiency lighting.

What's more, the process of qualifying for lighting deductions is easier than for HVAC or the building envelope. For those two areas, energy modeling is required. For lighting, two methods are available for obtaining tax deductions. The simpler of the two is the prescriptive method, which is based on watts per square foot and does not require modeling. The second method is modeling to show a 16.67 per cent energy cost reduction compared to ASHRAE 90.1-2001. Modeling is the only way to obtain the benefits of



watt per square foot power allowance adjustments for lighting controls.

### The Opportunity

EPAct tax deductions for lighting start at 30 cents per square foot for a 25 percent reduction in light power density compared to ASHRAE 90.1-2001 requirements. The deduction can be as great as 60 cents per square foot for a 40

### **VENTURE LIGHTING INTERNATIONAL**

Uni-Form MP 575 pulse-start metal halide lamp and ballast system replaces 1,000watt MH lamps. Product produces 60,000 initial lumens and twice the mean lumens of a standard 400-watt metal halide lamp. Arc tube shape improves thermal characteristics and light output. Tipless design eliminates cold spots for more uniform light output and longer lamp life. CIRCLE #250

#### **ADVANCE TRANSFORMER**

Mark 10 Powerline electronic dimming ballast for use with 24-watt T5 high output and 24-watt long twin tube fluorescent lamps has low-profile design. Ballast requires no additional control wiring and is compatible with controls from many manufacturers. CIRCLE #260

### **UNIVERSAL LIGHTING TECHNOLOGIES**

Ballastar light-level switching ballast for T8 lamps provides light level control by switching from full light to 50 percent

power using stan-dard wall switches

or relays. Ballast is designed to operate either one or two F32T8, F25T8, or F17T8 lamps. Product can be connected to any voltage from 120 to 277 volts. CIRCLE #262

### **COOPER LIGHTING**

The Fail-Safe LED series of architectural vandal-resistant luminaires features seven face plate choices in six base colors

plus custom capabilities for signage and wayfinding. LED modules use 11 Lumileds 3W white LEDs offering 50.000 standard life hours at 70



percent lumen maintenance. The onepiece injection molded lens is designed to obscure lamp image while maintaining efficiency. UL 1598 listed for wet locations. CIRCLE #253

### **ORION ENERGY**

The Compact Modular T8 Series high-bay lighting fixtures are available in 2-, 4-, 6-, and 8-lamp configurations. Quick-change ballast pack and modular design enable upgrades or advanced controls to be added. Aluminum "I" frame dissipates heat more quickly than steel, lowering temperature surrounding the ballast. CIRCLE #273



percent reduction.

To illustrate the economic benefit, a 100,000-square-foot building that qualifies for the maximum incentive will generate a \$60,000 Federal income tax deduction and, in most states, a corresponding \$60,000 state income tax deduction.

To qualify for these deductions, a facility has to meet not only the specified EPAct light power density requirements for that type of space, but also comply with some additional mandates. Under the current legislation, in effect until 2008, these additional requirements include bi-level switching and minimum IESNA light levels. Bi-level switching means having at least two levels of light other than off in all spaces. A space is defined as an area surrounded by floor-to-ceiling walls. A dimmer, for example, meets the requirements because it provides multiple levels of light. Two or more switches controlling different fixtures in a space would also meet this bi-level requirement. Occupancy sensors do not, on their own, meet this

bi-level requirement because they do not provide two levels of light.

To get a deduction for a lighting EPAct project, facility executives need to know the square footage of the spaces subject to the project, the watts per square foot for all rooms — including new and retained wired lighting — and how the bi-level switching requirement has been met. Documentation for the lighting tax deduction includes a watts-per-squarefoot spreadsheet for all wired lighting, a written energy plan, a certification and an inspection document.

### **Maximizing Benefits**

Many lighting projects just miss qualifying for EPAct tax incentives because the lighting systems designer wasn't aware how close the design was to meeting EPAct requirements. There are cases where design needs will trump EPAct qualification but those occasions should represent conscious decisions. In many situations, merely changing one item in

### Justifying Energy Projects

EFFICIENCY

Facility executives have a range of economic drivers for lighting projects. Five economic areas can be explored to increase the percentage of lighting and other energy efficiency projects that are approved.

- ENERGY SAVINGS. Many of today's lighting and HVAC products can reduce current energy consumption in the range of 25 to 50 percent compared to older products, in some cases products installed as little as five years ago.
- **REBATES.** Many states and local jurisdictions offer substantial rebates for energy improvements. Rebates are particularly lucrative in certain states in the Northeast and in California, where energy supply is limited and costs are high. Some rebates are called prescriptive, meaning that a particular product category gets a prescribed rebate, such as \$80 per lighting fixture or \$1,000 per air conditioning unit. Some rebates are kilowatt based, meaning that the more a project reduces electricity use, the greater the rebate. Facility executives can now access national electronic rebate databases and, for a fee, have all of the rebate paperwork completed in virtually every jurisdiction where a company has facilities.
- EPACT DEDUCTIONS. For projects that meet EPAct requirements, significant tax deductions are available.
- DEMAND-RESPONSE PROGRAMS. Many states offer demand-response and demandmanagement programs where companies can get substantial economic payments for using lighting controls and HVAC controls to reduce electricity use when called upon during demand events or to earn additional revenues for making lighting and HVAC investments that permanently reduce electrical demand.
- MAINTENANCE COST REDUCTION. Building maintenance is a high-cost, labor-intensive process, particularly if there are a lot of products with short lives that require regular replacement. Some new energy-efficient products have longer lives, which reduces replacement costs.

— Goulding, Goldman, Sheth

### ALANOD ALUMINUM

Miro-Micro Matt for fluorescent high-bay applications has 93 percent total reflectivity and produces up to 20 percent more light than the same luminaire with a white painted reflector. Product is abrasionresistant, inorganic to avoid yellowing or darkening, anti-static and dust resistant. **CIRCLE #254** 

#### **LEVITON**

Z-MAX lighting-control relay systems include stand-alone and network-ready models. Service life is 10,000,000



switching cycles. Astronomical clock allows system's location to be programmed to time-of-day settings or a time offset from sunrise or sunset. Relays offer keypad programming with bright LCD panels and on-screen instructions. **CIRCLE #255** 

### **LUMISYS**

Maxiom Series controls high voltage lighting circuits via a two-wire RS-485 network, occupancy sensors, light level sensors, momentary override switches, and other input devices. LX5 technology features native BACnet and a range of other protocols. Panels have on-board DDN (Digital Device Network) communication to Digi-Touch addressable switches. UL listed. **CIRCLE #256** 

### FULL SPECTRUM SOLUTIONS

The EverLast line of fixtures features electrodeless fluorescent technology that has a rated life of up to 100,000 hours



and is resistant to EMC interference. The company has seventeen different combinations of lamp

wattages in three different styles and offers dimmable options on many models. **CIRCLE #257** 

#### HOLOPHANE

ROAM photocontrols communicate via a wireless transceiver, creating a selfconfiguring, self-healing wireless network that exchanges data between photocontrols on an event-driven basis. The system monitors itself, reporting outages as they occur. Photocontrol is backward-compatible with light fixtures that have a lockingtype receptacle. **CIRCLE #258** 

#### LAMINA

The SoL MR16 LED is designed as a direct, ready-to-plug-in retrofit for 20watt MR-16 halogen and comparable CFL lamps. This design produces as much light as the 20-watt halogen bulb, but consumes less than 8 watts. Color temperatures of 3,050 K and 4,700 K. **CIRCLE #267** 

### **INTERNATIONAL ENGINEERING PRODUCTS** AND CONSULTING CORP.

Lighting control uses solid state electronics with on-site, remote and aggregate Web-based controls for HID lighting. The VB400 contains an electronic ballast and features microprocessors to regulate current flow for metal halide, high-pressure sodium and pulse start lamps. CIRCLE #259

#### FOSTER TRANSFORMERS

LED power supply features short circuit and overload protection and can be



dimmed with a standard dimmer. The power supply is encapsulated in epoxy and housed in a 304

stainless steel enclosure. Power supply can withstand a direct short in excess of 15 days, with no external fusing required. Product accepts multiple input voltages with output configurable for 12 VDC or 24 VDC up to 60 W. CIRCLE #251

#### JUNO LIGHTING GROUP

Elate specification-grade luminaires offer open and lensed downlights, wall wash and adjustables with CFL, induction, HID, incandescent and low-voltage sources. The line also features pull-down and multiple lamp-aiming adjustables for display lighting. CIRCLE #268

#### **LITHONIA**

The I-BEAM fluorescent high bay lighting system features T5HO cool running technology that is UL/C-UL listed to operate in environments up to 65 degrees C. I-BEAM delivers up to 50 percent in energy savings over 400 watt metal halide lamps, according to the company, and maintains designed light levels over the life of the system. CIRCLE #269

#### **OSRAM SYLVANIA**

The DURA-One A19 electrodeless compact fluorescent lamp features a rated life of up to 15,000 hours. Offers instant brightness, a starting temperature of -20 degrees



F and unlimited switching cycles. Compared to a 75watt incandescent A19, the

product provides energy cost savings of up to \$82 over the life of the lamp, according to the company.

CIRCLE #274

### NEXLIGHT

The WRT4244 dimmer controls fluorescent ballasts that accept a 0-10 volt

DC control voltage. The unit is used in conjunction with the WR6161-84 20 amp relay to provide on/off control. Dimmer controls up to 50 ballasts. Dimming groups can be made that contain up to 60 dimmers. CIRCLE #271

#### SQUARE D

Occupancy sensors employing passive infrared (PIR) and ultrasonic technologies are available for wall switches and ceilingmount applications. PIR wall switch replacement sensors are both 120/277 VAC and cover a 180-degree area with a 300-square foot range. Ceiling sensors offer 360-degree coverage and have a coverage area of up to 2,000 square feet. CIRCLE #261

#### GE

VIO white LED converts violet wavelength to white light, producing less than a 100 degree Kelvin color shift over a 50,000hour rated life. Product is offered in 3,500K and 4.100K color temperatures. Highpower, 4-watt LEDs feature 70-percent lumen maintenance and chip-on-board package that improves thermal management. RoHS compliant. CIRCLE #252

#### **ROBERTSON WORLDWIDE**

Electra series high temperature ballasts meet ENERGY STAR 4.0 requirements



and have a 90 degree C maximum case temperature. Ballasts available with side leads, bottom leads or bottom leads with

studs for one 7- through 42-watt and two 13- through 26-watt CFLs. CIRCLE #275

#### SENSOR SWITCH

nLight lighting offers system-level-control while enabling zones of nLight devices to self-commission and function independently. System provides local control via LCD Gateways, as well as remote, global control through SensorView Web-based software. CIRCLE #276

#### LUTRON

EcoSystem allows workers to control one or more fixtures from their desks using a personal computer. Quantum software control package monitors individual lighting fixtures and power usage, operating hours, monitor lamp and ballast performance. The system allows users to make changes to as many as 100 EcoSystem networks at the same time. **CIRCLE #270** 



On a national facility project for a large retirement organization, for example, a slight design change increased the EPAct tax deductions from \$2,000 per facility to \$40,000 per facility.

The first step to obtaining EPAct deductions is hiring a lighting designer who is familiar with EPAct requirements or is willing to learn them. If a facility executive hires an architect or lighting designer who has no familiarity with EPAct, it may well be worth allowing some additional time to learn the standards. It would also be important to ask the designer to explain the rationale for designs involving large building spaces that don't qualify for EPAct tax deductions.

Good design incorporates many different - and sometimes conflicting considerations. However, it's clear that energy-efficient design is now being given more weight than in the past. There has also been a quantum leap in the energy efficiency of lighting products, which makes it possible to achieve both good lighting quality and energy efficiency. Facility executives should look for a designer who is familiar with today's products and is not merely recycling outdated, inefficient design solutions.

It is also important to keep accurate records of which properties have qualified for EPAct tax deductions and for how much per square foot. For example, a building that in 2007 qualifies for deduction of 37 cents per square foot will have the opportunity to achieve a second deduction of 38 cents per square foot if a proposal to increase the deduction from the current 60 cents to 75 cents becomes law.

#### Getting a "Free Ride"

Organizations that installed energyefficient lighting before Jan. 1, 2006that is, before the beginning of the EPAct qualifying period - have the potential to get what is known as a "free ride" under the law. That's true if the organization has already achieved the EPAct light power density targets. The reason: Lighting projects undertaken after Jan.



1, 2006, for buildings that have already hit the light power density targets are automatically entitled to a tax deduction. Essentially this means that if a facility already meets the EPAct watts-per-squarefoot target, virtually all lighting upgrades will qualify for tax deduction.

Free riding is typically used to obtain automatic tax deductions for lighting controls projects, including occupancy controls, dimming and daylighting systems as well as the lighting portion of building management systems.

More and more facility executives are beginning to understand free riding. At one department store chain, a lighting controls project involving 20 facilities qualified for a "free ride" tax deduction. The chain had invested in energy efficient lighting before EPAct was passed and already met the EPAct watts-per-squarefoot requirement before the lighting controls were installed. Most of the projects involved automatic shutoff systems

 — time clocks or occupancy sensors. Ten stores qualified for the full 60 cents per square foot deduction.

#### Tax Tips

Beginning in late 2005, the U.S. lighting industry did a magnificent job of introducing EPAct on industry Web sites and in trade brochures. But practical problems made it difficult for facility executives to take advantage of the deductions. Applying EPAct requires interdisciplinary skills involving engineering, energy management and tax concepts that aren't normally part of the basic skill set of any single professional. The mainstream tax profession community is often not conversant with lighting electrical wattage, HVAC energy efficiency and building envelope fenestration concepts. Likewise, the facilities community generally isn't familiar with tax deductions and normally doesn't use income tax benefits as part of the project capital authorization process.

Initially, the lighting industry described the basic EPAct concepts and then recommended that facility executives seek tax advice. Increasingly, the lighting industry is engaging specialized tax consulting firms that have the required skill set necessary to identify, analyze and capture the EPAct benefits.

Today, companies are beginning to obtain substantial tax savings ranging from a few thousand dollars for small projects to tens of millions of dollars for large national property holders.

To date, the most common lighting EPAct projects involve distribution centers, industrial facilities and retail spaces. But EPAct deductions have also been gained for lighting projects in office buildings, supermarket chains, restaurants, assisted living facilities, hotels and other types of buildings.

There is a great deal of synergy between EPAct and the U.S. Green Building



71

### Can't anyone stop



of vandalism?



With our coated, super tough STI Wire Guards to protect against both accidental and intentional damage for Exit Signs as well as:



### And you can rely on STI



Stopper<sup>®</sup> II device, world's #1 false fire alarm fighter for over 25 years.



### WATT STOPPER

DW-200 wall switch occupancy sensors combine passive infrared and ultrasonic technologies. Sensors contain two relays for controlling two independent lighting loads or circuits. Products have high sensitivity and dense coverage and include selectable walk-through, test and presentation modes. CIRCLE #263

### PHILIPS LIGHTING

Luxeon Rebel power LEDs are engineered for operation between 350 mA and 1000 mA, and can exceed 70 lumens per watt at 350 mA. Product can deliver more than 160 lumens at higher drive currents. Product has a 3mm by 4.5mm footprint. Ceramic-based package is designed



to withstand high heat with a maximum junction temperature of 150

degrees C. Available in warm, neutral and cool-white with correlated color temperatures (CCTs) of 3,000K, 4,100K and 6,500K respectively. CIRCLE #264

### **NOVITAS**

SuperSwitch 2 occupancy switches adjust sensitivity and time delay automatically and immediately in response to occupant behavior,



eliminating the need to "learn" behavior patterns over time. Switch fits into a designer-style wallplate. With manual on mode, lights are not switched on until touchplate is pressed. CIRCLE #265

### ACCULITE

Exeter E3 Series luminaires feature an extended range of decorative trims and lenses for commercial and retail environments. Based on the company's glass. acrylic and aluminum optical assemblies. CIRCLE #266

### **NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY GROUP**

LED wall packs are designed for security, accent and perimeter lighting applications. Packs are rated at 100,000 hours of operation, feature 80 percent energy savings over HID, and require virtually no maintenance. Available in 150w or 250w equivalent and in 120/277v. CIRCLE #272

Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) green building rating system. LEED requires computer modeling to document target levels of energy efficiency; EPAct also requires computer modeling for HVAC, building envelope, whole-building and some lighting deductions. More importantly, LEED generally requires adherence to ASHRAE 90.1-2004 energy-efficiency requirements, meaning that LEED projects will generally either qualify for EPAct tax deduction or come very close. What's more, 90.1-2004 is the basis for code in some states.

For example, office buildings qualify for EPAct at the .975 watts per square foot level and ASHRAE 90.1-2004 sets a maximum of 1 watt per square foot for office buildings. So a building planned to meet 90.1-2004 only needs to reduce lighting energy use by .025 watts per square foot to qualify for an EPAct deduction. Accordingly, leading office building developers are increasingly setting their office building lighting requirements at less than .975 watts per square foot so that they both meet the requirements of ASHRAE 90.1-2004 and qualify for EPAct. It seems likely that the LEED-qualified professionals will begin to realize that EPAct provides meaningful economic incentives to support their LEED initiatives.

The modeling required to qualify for a whole-building deduction under EPAct is very similar to LEED modeling. However, for separate systems modeling relating to lighting, HVAC and the building envelope, EPAct building modeling requires taking a different approach, one that most engineers are not familiar with. Facility executives should be sure that their engineers understand, in-depth, the computer modeling requirements of EPAct.

### EPAct Lighting **Success Stories**

EPAct has made it possible for many warehouses, distribution centers and industrial property owners to realize substantial tax deductions. For example, the Genlyte supply division facility in Union, N.J., replaced older metal halide lighting with energy-efficient fluorescent lighting. In the assembly/parts facility, 240 metal halide fixtures with a rating of 455 watts per fixture were changed over to four-lamp T5 fixtures with a rating of 236 watts per fixture. In the warehouse, approximately 40 metal halide fixtures were replaced with more energy-efficient six-lamp T5 fixtures as well.

With these changes, lighting energy use for the assembly/parts facility fell from 1.33 to .84 watts per square foot. For the warehouse, lighting energy use

### MAKE YOUR LIGHTING RETROFIT A SUCCESS



Don't let your lighting retrofit run off the road!

A well planned and executed lighting efficiency project can be one of the best ways to save your company money. But the results of a bad retrofit project can be poor light levels, lost savings opportunities and a loss in worker productivity that can wipe out all your good intentions. Cooper Lighting, a leader in the design of energy efficient lighting systems, can arm you with the information necessary to ensure your project's success.





dropped from .56 to .48 watts per square foot. The result was a 35 percent reduction in lighting energy cost and an EPAct tax deduction exceeding \$100,500.

The building industry is increasingly recognizing the substantial value of EPAct-related lighting upgrades for both energy savings and tax deductions. For the first time, national property owners have a national lighting standard energy target that provides national economic benefits. If the EPAct extension bill is enacted, as expected, virtually every US commercial and government building will have the opportunity to benefit from this legislation. EOM

Charles Goulding, an attorney and certified public accountant, is president of Energy Tax Savers, Inc. Jacob Goldman is a tax consultant and Siddharth Sheth is an engineer with the firm. The firm has developed complimentary EPAct designer guides for major building categories including distribution centers, offices, pharmaceutical facilities, hotels and schools.

E-mail comments to edward.sullivan@tradepress.com.



EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Grow More
Popular
Part 1: EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain
Wider Use
Part 2: Warehouses, Retailers Use EPAct to Earn Lighting
Savings
Part 3: How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects
Part 4: Lighting Products



### EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain Wider Use

By Charles Goulding, Jacob Goldman and Nicole DiMarino

In their third year, tax incentives available under EPAct — officially the Energy Policy Act of 2005 — are achieving wide use, particularly for energy-efficient lighting and lighting controls. LEED building projects are also increasingly taking advantage of EPAct tax incentives.

EPAct provides an immediate tax deduction of up to \$1.80 per square foot for building investments that achieve specified energy cost reductions beyond ASHRAE 90.1-2001 building energy code standards. A one-time \$1.80 per square foot deduction is the maximum tax deduction, but deductions of up to 60 cents per square foot are also available for three types of building systems: lighting, including lighting controls, HVAC, and the building envelope, which includes roof, walls, windows, doors and floor/foundation.

To obtain a tax deduction of 30 cents per square foot for lighting, the wattage must be reduced by 25 percent from ASHRAE 90.1-2001 levels. A maximum tax deduction of 60 cents per square foot requires a 40 percent reduction. To document the lighting electricity reduction and meet the EPAct requirements, the lighting project must have a spreadsheet to demonstrate that the project meets the EPAct watts-per-square-foot thresholds and meets seven other procedural requirements.

Under current law, EPAct tax incentives are available for projects placed in service after Dec. 31, 2005 and before Jan. 1, 2009. Multiple bills currently before Congress propose to extend EPAct for one or more years.

EPAct tax benefits for lighting have entered the mainstream because virtually all of the large lighting manufacturers and distributors are emphasizing the importance of the tax incentive with their sales proposals. The potential for an immediate EPAct tax deduction of 60 cents per square foot is a meaningful economic incentive for lighting projects, many of which range from 60 cents to \$2.00 per square foot in installed costs.

### 7/11/2008

The lighting market is enjoying sustained strength. Rising electricity costs, more rigorous state and local building energy codes, and improved lighting products are resulting in compelling economic paybacks, many times less than two years. As a result, it is easier for facility executives to win funding for energy-efficient lighting investments. Lighting specifiers are increasingly comfortable with the EPAct lighting requirements and know that they can meet them for most property categories. This confidence enables them to include EPAct tax benefits right in the initial lighting proposal. In fact, a lighting proposal without an EPAct tax benefit calculation is now unusual and hence somewhat suspect.

Charles Goulding, an attorney and certified public accountant, is president of Energy Tax Savers, Inc. Jacob Goldman is an engineer and tax consultant and Nicole DiMarino is an analyst with the firm. Energy Tax Savers Inc. is an interdisciplinary tax and engineering firm that specializes in the energy efficient aspects of buildings.

EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Grow More Popular Part 1: EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain Wider Use Part 2: <u>Warehouses, Retailers Use EPAct to Earn Lighting Savings</u> Part 3: <u>How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects</u> Part 4: <u>Lighting Products</u> EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Grow More Popular Part 1: EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain Wider Use Part 2: Warehouses, Retailers Use EPAct to Earn Lighting Savings Part 3: How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects

Part 4: Lighting Products

### Warehouses, Retailers Use EPAct to Earn Lighting Savings

By Charles Goulding, Jacob Goldman and Nicole DiMarino

The largest category of commercial property owners capturing EPAct benefits is national and regional retailers, for both stores and distribution centers. Most retailers manage from the center core and often have common or similar store layouts. Once they decide on an energy-saving initiative, they implement it across a wide section of their portfolio. Large retailers have felt the impact of the economic downturn, and many are curtailing new store construction programs and closing marginal stores. This is enabling these leaner retailers to focus their energy-cost-cutting initiatives on the retained stores.

For retail store buildings, the ASHRAE 90.1-2001 watts-per-square-foot standard is 1.9. However, for the room category of retail selling space, the ASHRAE 90.1-2001 standard is 2.1. This is an important advantage for retailers because it is easier to obtain higher tax deductions when using the latter standard. Many retailers are limiting existing store retrofits to the primary selling spaces.

Another category of EPAct projects is warehouses — single- and multiple-building projects with individual facilities ranging from 10,000 square feet to more than 1,000,000 square feet. Distribution centers particularly benefit from EPAct because the deductions are based on total square footage. The larger the space, the larger the incentive, and distribution centers are large facilities. The most common lighting retrofit is from metal halide lighting to fluorescent lighting fixtures where the energy savings alone are substantial. What's more, while electricity costs are rising, the price of these lighting systems is decreasing, making the investment even more attractive.

Warehouses are the only listed building category where there is no partial tax deduction below 60 cents per square foot, and the owner must achieve a 50-percent-watts-per-square-foot reduction from ASHRAE 90.1-2001. Because this is an all or nothing category it is crucial to review the lighting design in advance. EPAct qualification will hinge on the fixture density of the design. Merely doing a one-for-one replacement of existing fixtures may not be sufficient.

### 7/11/2008



In some cases, warehouse aisles are so narrow that the required lighting density makes it impossible to gain EPAct tax benefits. Warehouse owners are increasing their use of occupancy sensors so that with seasonal product lines and slow moving inventory the lighting is kept totally off when sections of the warehouse are not in use. This is a very cost-effective way to gain substantial energy savings.

Industrial and manufacturing facilities are a third category of buildings that are taking advantage of EPAct tax benefits. Again, these are large spaces where EPAct tax incentives based on square footage become particularly lucrative. When multiple manufacturing plants are involved, the plant manager often has unilateral decision making authority for investments with two-year or less economic paybacks. The EPAct tax incentive often drives payback below two years, making approval of lighting upgrades automatic. Again, replacing metal halide fixtures with fluorescent lighting is the most common project. The ASHRAE 90.1-2001 building standard for manufacturing facilities is 2.2 watts per square foot, and designing a 25 percent wattage reduction is fairly straightforward.

Enclosed parking garages are a growing EPAct category. In Notice 2008-40 issued March 7, 2008, the Internal Revenue Service made it clear that although parking garages are often unconditioned spaces they are eligible for EPAct tax deductions. There are numerous parking garages in urban environments, and electricity for lighting is the primary building energy cost.

It is quite common for multifacility property owners to learn how EPAct works with one building and then apply the same process with their remaining facilities. Island Architectural Woodworking has three manufacturing plants on Long Island, N.Y., including a new plant completed in 2007. After obtaining EPAct lighting tax deductions for its new building, Island is now applying EPAct to the lighting retrofit of its two existing facilities.

Charles Goulding, an attorney and certified public accountant, is president of Energy Tax Savers, Inc. Jacob Goldman is an engineer and tax consultant and Nicole DiMarino is an analyst with the firm. Energy Tax Savers Inc. is an interdisciplinary tax and engineering firm that specializes in the energy efficient aspects of buildings.

- Part 2: Warehouses, Retailers Use EPAct to Earn Lighting Savings
- Part 3: How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects

Part 4: Lighting Products

EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Grow More Popular

Part 1: EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain Wider Use

EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Grow More Popular



Part 1: EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain Wider Use

Part 2: <u>Warehouses</u>, <u>Retailers</u> Use EPAct to Earn Lighting

### <u>Savings</u>

Part 3: How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects

Part 4: Lighting Products

### How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects

By Charles Goulding, Jacob Goldman and Nicole DiMarino

### Products and Services:

<u>Green HVAC Solutions</u> High Efficiency and IAQ, Lower Cost McQuay GreenWay(tm) System Solutions

<u>Siemens</u> Making buildings comfortable, safe, secure and less costly to operate EPAct contains a tax provision intended specifically to help the government sector save energy. The law provides an incentive to designers to incorporate today's energy efficient products into their designs for government buildings. In the beginning, the architecture and engineering community had a hard time grasping this

incentive because it is the first building-design tax incentive ever offered in the Internal Revenue Code. As designers have learned about the incentive in continuing education programs, they have become eager to use it. "Government" includes federal, state and local governments, including K-12 public schools. Although virtually all government-building categories have benefited from this incentive, the most frequent uses are for K-12 public schools, state universities and colleges, and parking garages. Other common categories include post offices, military bases, libraries, courthouses and hospitals.

LEED buildings are also increasingly taking advantage of EPAct tax benefits. LEED certification, the standard for best-of-breed sustainable buildings, requires compliance with ASHRAE 90.1-2004 building code standards, which are more rigorous than the 2001 version of the standard. This means that achieving LEED status should put the building well on the way to obtaining EPAct tax benefits.

The key with LEED projects is to use an IRS-approved modeling software for both the LEED and EPAct processes. The LEED model will use ASHRAE 2004 as a reference building and the EPAct model will use ASHRAE 2001 tax reference building criteria.

Some building owners have made the decision not to proceed with LEED certification based on incomplete economic payback information. It is important to have finance professionals familiar with utility rebates and EPAct tax deduction

### 7/11/2008

opportunities on the LEED evaluation committee. To the extent that the LEED project incorporates a high percentage of energy-efficient measures, the combined energy savings, rebate payments and tax savings can materially influence payback. Many jurisdictions are providing extra rebates, some at the six-figure level, for buildings that achieve LEED status.

Charles Goulding, an attorney and certified public accountant, is president of Energy Tax Savers, Inc. Jacob Goldman is an engineer and tax consultant and Nicole DiMarino is an analyst with the firm. Energy Tax Savers Inc. is an interdisciplinary tax and engineering firm that specializes in the energy efficient aspects of buildings.

- Part 1: EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Gain Wider Use
- Part 2: Warehouses, Retailers Use EPAct to Earn Lighting Savings
- Part 3: How EPAct Works in LEED and Government Projects

Part 4: Lighting Products

EPAct Tax Deductions for Lighting Projects Grow More Popular

## **LEED Building Tax Opportunities**

By Charles Goulding, Jacob Goldman and Nicole DiMarino

Charles Goulding, Jacob Goldman, and Nicole DeMarino explain the accelerating pace of energy efficient building certification and the tax savings incentives associated with this important environmental effort.

EED building certification is quickly becoming the Marquee standard for best of breed buildings. LEED buildings are typically entitled to substantial tax benefits, and tax professionals should recognize LEED building proposals as tax planning opportunities. LEED is administered by the U.S. Green Buildings Council and stands for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design. The LEED ratings system establishes 69 rating points and four categories of accomplishment, with the highest being LEED Platinum, followed by LEED Gold, LEED Silver and LEED certified.

### Figure 1

Certification Level	Rating Points
LEED Certified	26-32
LEED Silver	33-38
LEED Gold	39-51
LEED Platinum	52-69

On June 8, 2007, Yudelson Associates, an organization that monitors LEED data, announced that there are now 6,300 buildings in LEED registration and that to date 820 completed building projects have become LEED certified. A November 13, 2007, Wall Street Journal article noted that in a recent seven month period 2.2 billion square feet of commercial construction space was registered for LEED, which is much less time than the seven

**Charles Goulding,** an attorney and certified public accountant, is president of Energy Tax Savers, Inc.

**Jacob Goldman** is an engineer and tax consultant with Energy Tax Savers, Inc.

Nicole DiMarino is an analyst with Energy Tax Savers, Inc.

years it took to register the first 1 billion in square footage.<sup>1</sup> Achieving the coveted LEED certification level has impacted an ever expanding category of buildings. In addition to LEED industrial buildings, LEED office buildings, and LEED retail stores, we now have LEED schools, LEED bank branches, and our first LEED car dealership, which is a Toyota dealership in McKinney, Texas.<sup>2</sup>

The tax opportunities with LEED buildings relate to the large number of LEED ratings points involving energy cost reduction. Out of the 69 total LEED rating points, over 20 points relate to energy criteria, with 10 points specifically designated for energy optimization. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct) provides for up to a \$1.80 per square foot immediate tax deduction for achieving specified energy cost reductions above ASHRAE 2001 building energy code performance standards. The \$1.80 per square foot tax deduction is the maximum tax deduction, but within the \$1.80 deduction amount there are three building sub system tax deductions up to 60 cents per square foot for lighting, HVAC (Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning) and the Building Envelope (the building's exterior shell). ASHRAE stands for the American Society of Heating Refrigeration and Air Conditioning engineers. LEED certification requires compliance with the more rigorous ASHRAE 2004 building code standards. This means that achieving LEED status should put a building owner well on its way to simultaneously obtaining EPAct tax benefits. EPAct tax deductions are currently available for projects completed between January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2008. There are bills currently before Congress to extend EPAct through December 31, 2013.

CORPORATE BUSINESS TAXATION MONTHLY

Potential EPAct Tay	Potential EPAct Tax Deductions Available for LEED Certified Buildings Currently in Registration:							
Total	Ligh	nting	HVAC	Building Envelope	Total			
Square Footage	Minimum Deduction	Maximum Deduction	Maximum Deduction	Maximum Deduction	TOLAT			
2,200,000,000	\$ 660,000,000	\$1,320,000,000	\$1,320,000,000	\$1,320,000,000	\$ 3,960,000,000			

Figure 2

The 2.2 billion of commercial LEED projects have the potential to obtain almost 4 billion in EPAct tax deductions as presented in Figure 2.

### LEED and EPAct Modeling Requirements

Further facilitating EPAct tax deductions for LEED buildings is the mutual requirement that both LEED building compliance and EPAct tax compliance be documented by building energy computer simulation modeling (modeling). The modeling process

requires that the energy performance characteristics of the Lighting, HVAC mechanical systems, and Building Envelope be inputted into specialized computer programs called models. Normally, highly skilled engineers perform the modeling task. It is particularly important to use a highly skilled engineer when modeling building

A November 13, 2007, Wall Street Journal article noted that in a recent seven month period 2.2 billion square feet of commercial construction space was registered for LEED, which is much less time than the seven years it took to register the first 1 billion in square footage.

energy solutions, since the engineer will often need to create a documented math algorithem to properly

Figure 3 $\rightarrow \lambda/\alpha$	org Kluwor
IRS Approved EPAct Building Ene	rgy Modeling Software
TRACE 700	Version 6.0.2.1
TRACE 700	Version 6.1.0.0
TRACE 700	Version 6.1.1.0
EnergyPlus	Version 1.3.0.018
EnergyPlus	Version 1.4.0.025
EnergyPlus	Version 2.0.0.025
Hourly Analysis Program	Version 4.31
Hourly Analysis Program	Version 4.34
VisualDOE	Version 4.1 build 0002
EnergyGauge Summit	Version 3.1 build 2
EnergyGauge Summit	Version 3.11
DOE-2.1E	Version 119
Owens Corning Commercial Energy Calculator (OC-CEC)	Version 1.1
Green Building Studio	Version 3.0

reflect the equipment's energy performance. To obtain EPAct tax benefits only an IRS approved modeling software can be used. To date IRS has approved eight modeling softwares in the following versions:

Additional modeling softwares are currently seeking IRS approval.

The EPAct model technique is somewhat different than LEED modeling so the engineer/modeler should not commence a project where tax savings are desired without speaking to a tax expert familiar with the nuances of EPAct tax modeling.

### LEED/EPAct Strategy Lighting Strategies

When combining LEED and EPAct tax planning strategies, a rigorous focus on energy efficient lighting including energy efficient lighting fixtures, lighting controls, and day lighting

concepts is one of the best ways to maximize LEED rating points and EPAct tax deductions. The energy savings and tax deductions with day lighting systems are directly proportional to window to wall ratios and D sky light to roof ratios. The more windows and skylights, the more daylight access and greater potential for energy savings and EPAct tax deductions.

### **Building Envelope/HVAC Strategies**

To maximize building energy efficiency and tax deduction, the key is to start with a very energy efficient building envelope. An efficient building envelope will allow the building owner to right size the HVAC system, which for all practical purposes means downsize to the correct building size. Without a highly efficient building envelope and modeling data, historically the HVAC industry has often over sized the systems to avoid complaints. Because HVAC is the biggest building energy user, appropriately sizing the HVAC system can save tremendous energy costs.

### New Building Codes Requiring LEED

Increasingly we are seeing two types of local area LEED building code standards being enacted. Some jurisdictions are requiring that all government buildings meet prescribed LEED standards. For example:

Arizona: Requires all state funded buildings to achieve LEED Silver certification.

California: Requires the design, construction, and operation of all new and renovated state owned facilities to be LEED Silver.

Michigan: All state funded new construction and major renovation projects over \$1,000,000 must be LEED certified.

New Mexico: All public buildings over 15,000 square feet must be LEED Silver.

Note that with government buildings, the architect or engineer effectuating the energy efficient design is entitled to the EPAct tax deduction benefits. <sup>3</sup>

Other jurisdictions are going further and requiring that all new buildings meet specified LEED levels. For example:

Babylon, New York: Requires LEED certification for any new construction of commercial buildings, office buildings, industrial buildings, multiple residences, or senior citizen multiple residences over 4,000 square feet.

Calabasas, California: All nonresidential, city and privately owned buildings between 500 square feet and 5,000 square feet must meet the LEED Certified level. Buildings over 5,000 square feet must meet the LEED Silver level.

With the expansion of these building code requirements, virtually every building owner in the country with a national new building program is closely examining how to potentially achieve LEED status.

### LEED and Energy Related Grants and Rebates

Many jurisdictions are beginning to offer LEED specific grants and rebates. For example, for new LEED buildings, LIPA, the electric utility in Long Island, New York, is offering major incentives up to:

- 1. \$500,000 in LEED project grants
- 2. \$100,000 in LEED building commissioning costs
- 3. \$50,000 in LEED/EPAct modeling costs
- 4. \$25,000 per LEED energy related rating point.

Most traditional utility rebates support the LEED energy optimization rating points, related to energy reduction particularly for lighting and lighting controls and multiple energy efficient HVAC projects.

### **LEED Tax Planning**

Designing a facility to achieve LEED status takes a lot of time and effort and requires participation by numerous parties, including the designers and intended occupants of a facility. As soon as the tax professional learns that a LEED building is being contemplated, they should begin getting involved in the LEED tax planning aspects of the project. The energy efficiency breakpoints for tax deductions at the whole building and building subsystems should be examined, along with the utility rebate breakpoints to help the LEED designer understand all the economic benefits available to support the LEED initiative.

### Conclusion

The widespread acceptance of the LEED rating point system by America's leading property owners, platforms substantial tax opportunities. The severity of the energy crisis is apparent to all Americans. Tax professionals who understand that LEED status embodies energy cost reduction can play an important part in helping to address one of our nation's biggest challenges.

### **ENDNOTES**

<sup>3</sup> Code Sec. 179D (d)(4).

This article is reprinted with the publisher's permission from the CORPORATE BUSINESS TAXA-TION MONTHLY, a monthly journal published by CCH, a Wolters Kluwer business. Copying or distribution without the publisher's permission is prohibited. To subscribe to the CORPORATE BUSINESS TAXATION MONTHLY or other CCH Journals please call 800-449-8114 or visit www. CCHGroup.com. All views expressed in the articles and columns are those of the author and not necessarily those of CCH.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dana Mattioli, How Going Green Draws Talent, Cuts Costs, The WALL STREET J., (November 13, 2007):B10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Jessie Bove, Taking the LEED: Pat Lobb Toyota of McKinney, Texas, Becomes the First Auto Dealership to Win LEED Certification, Dis-PLAY AND DESIGN IDEAS MAGAZINE (March 1, 2007) www.ddimagazine. com/displayanddesignideas/search/article\_display.jsp?vnu\_content\_ id=1003552525, accessed November 21, 2007.